arXiv:1509.00895v1 [math.FA] 2 Sep 2015

The multiplier algebra and BSE-functions for certain product of Banach algebras

Mehdi Nemati and Hossein Javanshiri

Abstract

In this paper, we characterize the (left) multiplier algebra of a semidirect product algebra $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B}\oplus\mathcal{I}$, where $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are closed two-sided ideal and closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$, respectively. As an application of this result we investigate the BSE-property of this class of Banach algebras. We then for two commutative semisimple Banach algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ characterize the BSE-functions on the carrier space of $\mathcal{A}\times_\phi\mathcal{B}$, the ϕ-Lau product of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, in terms of those functions on carrier spaces of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$. We also prove that $\mathcal{A}\times_\phi\mathcal{B}$ is a BSE-algebra if and only if both $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are BSE.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46J05, 46J10; Secondary 46J99
Key words: BSE-algebra, commutative Banach algebra, locally compact group, multiplier algebra

1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra and suppose that $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are closed two-sided ideal and closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$, respectively. Following Bade and Dales [1, 2] we say that $\mathcal{A}$ is a *semidirect product* of $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{I}$ if $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B}\oplus\mathcal{I}$. Indeed, in this case the product of two elements (b,a) and (b',a') of $\mathcal{B}\oplus\mathcal{I}$ is given by

$$(b,a)(b',a')=(bb',aa'+ba'+ab'),$$

and this algebra endowed with the norm $\|(b,a)\|=\|b\|+\|a\|$ is a Banach algebra. This notion was also studied by Berndt [4] and Thomas [21, 22] and they considered under what conditions a commutative Banach algebra is the semidirect product of a subalgebra and a principal ideal. We also note that the algebra $\mathcal{B}\oplus\mathcal{I}$ is a splitting extension of $\mathcal{B}$ by $\mathcal{I}$. These products not only induce new examples of Banach algebras which are interesting in its own right but also they are known as a fertile source as examples or counterexamples in functional and abstract harmonic analysis; see for example [16, 5]. An example of this type of algebras, which is of special interest, is the ϕ-*Lau product* of two Banach algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$. In fact, suppose that $\phi:\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{A}$ is a contractive algebra homomorphism. Then the ϕ-Lau product of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, denoted by $\mathcal{A}\times_\phi\mathcal{B}$, is defined as the ℓ^1-product space $\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{B}$ endowed with the norm $\|(a,b)\|=\|a\|+\|b\|$ and the product

$$(a,b)(a',b')=(aa'+\phi(b)a'+a\phi(b'),bb')$$

for all $(a,b),(a',b')\in\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{B}$. It is clear that with this norm and product, $\mathcal{A}\times_\phi\mathcal{B}$ is a Banach algebra. Identifying $\mathcal{A}$ with $\mathcal{A}\times\{0\}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ with $\{0\}\times\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{A}$ is a closed ideal of $\mathcal{A}\times_\phi\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is a closed subalgebra. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}\times_\phi\mathcal{B}$ is a semidirect product algebra of $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{A}$. This type of product was first introduced by Bhatt and Dabhi [3] for the case where $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative and was extended by the authors for the general case [10, 17].

In this paper, we characterize the (left) multiplier algebra of $\mathcal{B}\oplus\mathcal{I}$ in terms of the individual (left) multiplier algebras of $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{I}$. We then apply this result to investigate the BSE-property of this class of Banach algebras. For two commutative semisimple Banach algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ we characterize the BSE-functions on the carrier space $\Delta(\mathcal{A}\times_\phi\mathcal{B})$ of $\mathcal{A}\times_\phi\mathcal{B}$, in terms of those functions on $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and $\Delta(\mathcal{B})$. We also show that the ϕ-Lau product of two commutative semisimple Banach algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is BSE if and only if both $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are BSE. Finally, we use these results to study the BSE-property of certain Banach algebras related to a locally compact group G.

2 (left) Multipliers on semidirect product algebras

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a Banach algebra and let X and Y be two Banach $\mathcal{C}$-bimodules. An operator $T:X\to Y$ is called a *left $\mathcal{C}$-module map* if $T(c\cdot x)=c\cdot T(x)$ for all $c\in\mathcal{C}$ and $x\in X$. *Right $\mathcal{C}$-module* and *$\mathcal{C}$-bimodule maps* are defined similarly. We denote by $Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y)$ the space of all bounded left $\mathcal{C}$-module maps from X into Y. Define $LM(\mathcal{C})$ to be the left multiplier algebra of $\mathcal{C}$. That is,

$$LM(\mathcal{C})=Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{C}).$$

Suppose now that $\mathcal{A}$ is the semidirect product algebra $\mathcal{B}\oplus\mathcal{I}$. Note that the dual $\mathcal{A}^*$ of $\mathcal{A}$ can be identified with $\mathcal{B}^*\times\mathcal{I}^*$ in the natural way given for each $f\in\mathcal{I}^*$, $g\in\mathcal{B}^*$ and $(b,a)\in\mathcal{B}\oplus\mathcal{I}$ by

$$\langle(g,f),(b,a)\rangle=g(b)+f(a).$$

We start the section with a key lemma.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the semidirect product algebra $\mathcal{B}\oplus\mathcal{I}$. Then $T\in LM(\mathcal{A})$ if and only if there exist some $R_{\mathcal{I}}\in Hom_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{I})$, $S_{\mathcal{I}}\in Hom_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{I})$, $T_{\mathcal{B}}\in LM(\mathcal{B})$ and $S_{\mathcal{B}}\in Hom_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{B})$ such that for each $a,a'\in I$ and $b,b'\in\mathcal{B}$ we have*

(i) $T((b,a))=(S_{\mathcal{B}}(a)+T_{\mathcal{B}}(b),R_{\mathcal{I}}(a)+S_{\mathcal{I}}(b))$.
(ii) $R_{\mathcal{I}}(aa')=aR_{\mathcal{I}}(a')+aS_{\mathcal{B}}(a')$.
(iii) $R_{\mathcal{I}}(ab)=aS_{\mathcal{I}}(b)+aT_{\mathcal{B}}(b)$.
(iv) $S_{\mathcal{B}}(aa')=S_{\mathcal{B}}(ab)=0$.

Proof. Suppose that $T\in LM(\mathcal{A})$. Then there exist bounded linear mappings $T_1:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{B}$ and $T_2:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{I}$ such that $T=(T_1,T_2)$. Let $S_{\mathcal{B}}(a)=T_1((a,0))$, $S_{\mathcal{I}}(b)=T_2((0,b))$, $T_{\mathcal{B}}(b)=T_1((0,b))$ and $R_{\mathcal{I}}(a)=T_2((a,0))$ for all $a\in\mathcal{I}$ and $b\in\mathcal{B}$. Then trivially $S_{\mathcal{B}}$, $S_{\mathcal{I}}$, $T_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $R_{\mathcal{I}}$ are linear mappings satisfying (i). Moreover, for every $a,a'\in\mathcal{I}$ and $b,b'\in\mathcal{B}$

$$T((b,a)(b',a')) = T((bb',aa'+ba'+ab')) \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \Big(S_{\mathcal{B}}(aa'+ba'+ab')+T_{\mathcal{B}}(bb'),\\ &\qquad R_{\mathcal{I}}(aa'+ba'+ab')+S_{\mathcal{I}}(bb')\Big)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(b,a)T((b',a')) &= (b,a)\Big(S_{\mathcal{B}}(a')+T_{\mathcal{B}}(b'),R_{\mathcal{I}}(a')+S_{\mathcal{I}}(b')\Big)\\ &= \Big(bS_{\mathcal{B}}(a')+bT_{\mathcal{B}}(b'),aR_{\mathcal{I}}(a')+aS_{\mathcal{I}}(b')\\ &\qquad +aS_{\mathcal{B}}(a')+aT_{\mathcal{B}}(b')+bR_{\mathcal{I}}(a')+bS_{\mathcal{I}}(b')\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Thus $T\in LM(\mathcal{A})$ if and only if (1) and (2) coincide; that is,

$$S_{\mathcal{B}}(aa'+ba'+ab')+T_{\mathcal{B}}(bb') = bS_{\mathcal{B}}(a')+bT_{\mathcal{B}}(b'), \tag{3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}R_{\mathcal{I}}(aa'+ba'+ab')+S_{\mathcal{I}}(bb') &= aR_{\mathcal{I}}(a')+aS_{\mathcal{I}}(b')+aS_{\mathcal{B}}(a')\\ &\qquad +aT_{\mathcal{B}}(b')+bR_{\mathcal{I}}(a')+bS_{\mathcal{I}}(b').\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Therefore $T\in LM(\mathcal{A})$ if and only if the equations (3) and (4) are satisfied. Now a straightforward verification shows that if $R_{\mathcal{I}}\in Hom_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{I})$, $S_{\mathcal{I}}\in Hom_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{I})$, $T_{\mathcal{B}}\in LM(\mathcal{B})$ and $S_{\mathcal{B}}\in Hom_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{B})$ and the equalities (ii), (iii), (iv) are satisfied, then (3) and (4) are valid. Applying (3) and (4) for suitable values of a,a',b,b' shows that $R_{\mathcal{I}}\in Hom_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{I})$, $S_{\mathcal{I}}\in Hom_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{I})$, $T_{\mathcal{B}}\in LM(\mathcal{B})$ and $S_{\mathcal{B}}\in Hom_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{B})$ and the equalities (ii), (iii), (iv) are also satisfied, as claimed. ■

For a right Banach $\mathcal{C}$-module X we denote by $\langle X\mathcal{C}\rangle$ the closed linear span of the set $X\mathcal{C}:=\{x\cdot c : c\in\mathcal{C}, x\in X\}$. As an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.1 we have the following result.

Corollary 2.2 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the semidirect product algebra $\mathcal{B}\oplus\mathcal{I}$ such that either $\langle\mathcal{I}\mathcal{B}\rangle=\mathcal{I}$ or $\langle\mathcal{I}^2\rangle=\mathcal{I}$. Then $T\in LM(\mathcal{A})$ if and only if there exist $T_{\mathcal{I}}\in Hom_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{I})\cap LM(\mathcal{I})$, $S_{\mathcal{I}}\in Hom_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{I})$ and $T_{\mathcal{B}}\in LM(\mathcal{B})$ such that $T_{\mathcal{I}}(ab)=aS_{\mathcal{I}}(b)+aT_{\mathcal{B}}(b)$ and*

$$T((b,a))=(T_{\mathcal{B}}(b),T_{\mathcal{I}}(a)+S_{\mathcal{I}}(b))$$

for all $a\in I$ and $b\in\mathcal{B}$.

Remark 2.3 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra and suppose that $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are closed two-sided ideal and closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$, respectivly. Then for each $\varphi\in\Delta(\mathcal{I})$ there is a unique $\psi_\varphi\in\Delta(\mathcal{B})\cup\{0\}$ such that $\varphi(ab)=\varphi(ba)=\varphi(a)\psi_\varphi(b)$ for all $a\in\mathcal{I}$ and $b\in\mathcal{B}$. Indeed, $\psi_\varphi\in\Delta(\mathcal{B})\cup\{0\}$ is defined by $\psi_\varphi(b):=\varphi(ba_0)$ for all $b\in\mathcal{B}$, where $a_0\in\mathcal{I}$ is any element with $\varphi(a_0)=1$; to see this, note that for each $a\in\mathcal{I}$ and $b\in\mathcal{B}$,

$$\varphi(ab)=\varphi(aba_0)=\varphi(a)\varphi(ba_0)=\varphi(a)\psi_\varphi(b).$$

That ψ_φ is unique follows trivially. We note that if $\langle\mathcal{I}\mathcal{B}\rangle=\mathcal{I}$, then $\psi_\varphi\neq 0$ for all $\varphi\in\Delta(I)$.

Proposition 2.4 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the semidirect product algebra $\mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{I}$ and let*

$$E := \{(\psi_\varphi, \varphi) : \varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{I})\} \quad \text{and} \quad F := \{(\psi, 0) : \psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})\}.$$

Then E and F are disjoint and $\Delta(\mathcal{A}) = E \cup F$.

Proof. It is clear that $E \cap F = \emptyset$ and $E \cup F \subseteq \Delta(\mathcal{A})$. For the converse, suppose that $(\psi, \varphi) \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$. Then, for each $(b, a), (b', a') \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\langle (\psi, \varphi), (b, a)(b', a') \rangle = \langle (\psi, \varphi), (b, a) \rangle \langle (\psi, \varphi), (b', a') \rangle.$$

This implies that

$$\psi(bb') + \varphi(ab' + ba' + aa') = \psi(b)\psi(b') + \psi(b)\varphi(a') + \varphi(a)\psi(b') + \varphi(a)\varphi(a').$$

Taking $b = b' = 0$, it follows that $\varphi(aa') = \varphi(a)\varphi(a')$ and therefore $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{I}) \cup \{0\}$. Similarly, we can see that $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B}) \cup \{0\}$. Now, if $a = b' = 0$, then we have $\varphi(ba') = \psi(b)\varphi(a')$, similarly $\varphi(ab') = \varphi(a)\psi(b')$ for all $a, a' \in \mathcal{I}$ and $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$. The equality $\varphi = 0$ implies that $\psi \neq 0$. If $\varphi \neq 0$, then $\psi = \psi_\varphi$ by the above remark. ■

3 The BSE-property of semidirect product algebras

A commutative Banach algebra $\mathcal{C}$ is called without order if for each $c \in \mathcal{C}$, $c\mathcal{C} = \{0\}$ implies $c = 0$. For example, if $\mathcal{C}$ is a commutative semisimple Banach algebra, then it is without order. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a commutative Banach algebra with carrier space $\Delta(\mathcal{C})$ and let $\mathcal{C}^*$ denote the dual space of $\mathcal{C}$. A continuous complex-valued function σ on $\Delta(\mathcal{C})$ is said to satisfy *the Bochner-Schoenberg-Eberlein (BSE) inequality* if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_n \in \Delta(\mathcal{C})$ and $c_1, ..., c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ the inequality

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \sigma(\varphi_j) \right| \leq C \cdot \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \varphi_j \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^*}$$

holds. Let $C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{C}))$ denote the set of all continuous complex-valued functions on $\Delta(\mathcal{C})$ satisfying the BSE-inequality. The BSE-norm of σ denoted by $\|\sigma\|_{BSE}$, is defined to be the infimum of all such C. Takahasi and Hatori [19] showed that under this norm $C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{C}))$ is a commutative semisimple Banach algebra. A linear operator T on $\mathcal{C}$ is called a multiplier if it satisfies $cT(b) = T(c)b$ for all $b, c \in \mathcal{C}$. Suppose that $M(\mathcal{C})$ denotes the space of all multiplier of the commutative Banach algebra $\mathcal{C}$ which is a unital commutative Banach algebra. Recall that for each $T \in M(\mathcal{C})$ there exists a unique continuous function $\widehat{T}$ on $\Delta(\mathcal{C})$ such that $\widehat{T(c)}(\varphi) = \widehat{T}(\varphi)\widehat{c}(\varphi)$ for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{C})$; see [15, Theorem 1.2.2.]. A commutative Banach algebra $\mathcal{C}$ without order is called a *BSE-algebra* (or is said to have the *BSE-property*) if

$$C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{C})) = \widehat{M(\mathcal{C})}.$$

The concept of BSE-property has been first introduced and studied by Takahasi and Hatori [19] and later by several authors in various classes of commutative Banach algebras; see also [7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 20].

A bounded net $(e_\alpha)_\alpha$ in a Banach algebra $\mathcal{C}$ is called a Δ-*weak bounded approximate identity* if it satisfies $\varphi(e_\alpha) \to 1$ for all $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{C})$. Such approximate identities were studied in [11]. It was shown in [19, Corollary 5] that a commutative Banach algebra $\mathcal{C}$ has a Δ-weak bounded approximate identity if and only if $\widehat{M(\mathcal{C})} \subseteq C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{C}))$.

In the sequel, we assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is a commutative semidirect product algebra of semisimple closed subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ and semisimple closed ideal $\mathcal{I}$.

Corollary 3.1 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the commutative semidirect product algebra $\mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{I}$. Then $T \in M(\mathcal{A})$ if and only if there exist $T_\mathcal{I} \in Hom_\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}) \cap M(\mathcal{I})$, $S_\mathcal{I} \in Hom_\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ and $T_\mathcal{B} \in M(\mathcal{B})$ such that $T_\mathcal{I}(ab) = aS_\mathcal{I}(b) + aT_\mathcal{B}(b)$ and*

$$T((b,a)) = (T_\mathcal{B}(b), T_\mathcal{I}(a) + S_\mathcal{I}(b))$$

for all $a \in I$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Suppose that $T \in M(\mathcal{A})$. By Lemma 2.1, there exist some $R_\mathcal{I} \in Hom_\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I})$, $S_\mathcal{I} \in Hom_\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$, $T_\mathcal{B} \in M(\mathcal{B})$ and $S_\mathcal{B} \in Hom_\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{B})$ such that for each $a \in I$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$ we have

$$T((b,a)) = (S_\mathcal{B}(a) + T_\mathcal{B}(b), R_\mathcal{I}(a) + S_\mathcal{I}(b)).$$

Moreover, $S_\mathcal{B}(ba) = 0$. Since $S_\mathcal{B} \in Hom_\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{B})$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is without order, it follows that $S_\mathcal{B} = 0$ and $R_\mathcal{I} \in M(\mathcal{I})$. The converse is clear. ■

Proposition 3.2 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the semidirect product algebra $\mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{I}$ such that $\langle \mathcal{I}\mathcal{B} \rangle = \mathcal{I}$. Then $\mathcal{B}$ is a BSE-algebra if $\mathcal{A}$ is so.*

Proof. Suppose that $\rho \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{B}))$. Define a function σ on $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ by

$$\sigma(\psi, 0) = \rho(\psi), \quad \sigma(\psi_\varphi, \varphi) = \rho(\psi_\varphi)$$

for all $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})$ and $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{I})$. Then σ is continuous since $\langle \mathcal{I}\mathcal{B} \rangle = \mathcal{I}$ and so $\psi_\varphi \neq 0$ for all $\varphi \in \Delta(I)$. Moreover, since $\rho \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{B}))$, by [19, Theorem 4(i)] there is a bounded net $(b_\alpha)_\alpha$ in $\mathcal{B}$ such that $\widehat{b_\alpha}(\psi) \to \sigma(\psi)$ for all $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})$. Now, if we consider $(b_\alpha, 0)_\alpha$ as a net in $\mathcal{A}$, then

$$\widehat{(b_\alpha, 0)}(\psi, 0) \to \sigma(\psi) = \sigma(\psi, 0)$$

for all $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})$ and

$$\widehat{(b_\alpha, 0)}(\psi_\varphi, \varphi) \to \rho(\psi_\varphi) = \sigma(\psi_\varphi, \varphi)$$

for all $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{I})$. Thus, $\sigma \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ and therefore, there is some $T \in M(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\widehat{T} = \sigma$. By Corollary 3.1, there exist some $T_\mathcal{I} \in Hom_\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I})$, $S_\mathcal{I} \in Hom_\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$, $T_\mathcal{B} \in M(\mathcal{B})$ such that for each $a \in I$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$ we have

$$T((b,a)) = (T_\mathcal{B}(b), T_\mathcal{I}(a) + S_\mathcal{I}(b))$$

On the other hand, $\widehat{T(b,0)}(\psi,0) = \widehat{T}(\psi,0)\widehat{(b,0)}(\psi,0)$ for all $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$. Thus,

$$\psi(T_{\mathcal{B}}(b)) = \widehat{T}(\psi,0)\psi(b).$$

It follows that $\widehat{T_{\mathcal{B}}(b)}(\psi) = \widehat{T}(\psi,0)\widehat{b}(\psi)$. Moreover, $\widehat{T_{\mathcal{B}}(b)}(\psi) = \widehat{T_{\mathcal{B}}}(\psi)\widehat{b}(\psi)$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$. Therefore, $\widehat{T}((\psi,0)) = \widehat{T_{\mathcal{B}}}(\psi)$ and consequently,

$$\rho(\psi) = \sigma(\psi,0) = \widehat{T}(\psi,0) = \widehat{T_{\mathcal{B}}}(\psi)$$

for all $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})$. This means that $\rho \in \widehat{M(\mathcal{B})}$; that is, $C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{B})) \subseteq \widehat{M(\mathcal{B})}$. The reverse inclusion follows from this fact that if $\mathcal{A}$ has a Δ-weak bounded approximate identity, then so does $\mathcal{B}$.■

Now, for two Banach algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ we turn our attention to the BSE-property of direct sum algebra $\mathcal{A} \times_0 \mathcal{B}$. Recall that $\mathcal{A} \times_0 \mathcal{B}$ is equipped with the usual direct product multiplication and the norm defined by $\|(a,b)\| = \|a\| + \|b\|$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$. Also, it is clear that $\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_0 \mathcal{B}) = E \cup F$, where

$$E = \{(\varphi,0) : \varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})\} \quad \text{and} \quad F = \{(0,\psi) : \psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})\}.$$

We have the following corollary which was obtained independently in [12] through different methods. For sake of completeness and as an application of Corollary 3.1, we will give a proof of the corollary.

Corollary 3.3 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two commutative semisimple Banach algebras. Then $\mathcal{A} \times_0 \mathcal{B}$ is a BSE-algebra if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are BSE-algebras.*

Proof. It is easily verified that $C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_0 \mathcal{B})) = C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A})) \times_0 C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{B}))$. Indeed, $\sigma \in C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_0 \mathcal{B}))$ if and only if there are $\tau \in C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ and $\rho \in C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{B}))$ such that $\sigma = (\tau, \rho)$; that is,

$$\sigma(\varphi,0) = \tau(\varphi) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(0,\psi) = \rho(\psi)$$

for all $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})$. Moreover,

$$C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_0 \mathcal{B})) = C_b(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_0 \mathcal{B})) \cap (\mathcal{A}^{**} \times_0 \mathcal{B}^{**})|_{\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_0 \mathcal{B})},$$

by [19, Theorem 4]. This shows that $C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_0 \mathcal{B})) = C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A})) \times_0 C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{B}))$. On the other hand, by Corollary 3.1 we have $M(\mathcal{A} \times_0 \mathcal{B}) = M(\mathcal{A}) \times_0 M(\mathcal{B})$. It is also easy to check that

$$\widehat{M(\mathcal{A} \times_0 \mathcal{B})} = \widehat{M(\mathcal{A})} \times_0 \widehat{M(\mathcal{B})}.$$

The rest of the proof is straightforward. ■

4 The BSE-property of ϕ-Lau product algebras

Let $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ and ϕ be as in the introduction. If $\phi = 0$, then the algebra $\mathcal{A} \times_\phi \mathcal{B}$ coincides with the direct sum algebra $\mathcal{A} \times_0 \mathcal{B}$. We also recall from [10, Theorem 2.2] that $\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_\phi \mathcal{B}) = E \cup F$, where

$$E = \{(\varphi, \varphi \circ \phi) : \quad \varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})\} \quad \text{and} \quad F = \{(0, \psi) : \quad \psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})\}.$$

In the sequel for two commutative semisimple Banach algebras $\mathcal{A}$ ad $\mathcal{B}$ we characterize the BSE-functions on $\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_\phi \mathcal{B})$ in terms of those functions on $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and $\Delta(\mathcal{B})$.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be commutative semisimple Banach algebras and let $\phi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ be a contractive algebra homomorphism with dense range. Then the following statements hold.*

(i) *Let $\sigma \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_\theta \mathcal{B}))$ and define functions τ on $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and ρ on $\Delta(\mathcal{B})$ by*

$$\tau(\varphi) = \sigma(\varphi, \varphi \circ \phi) - \sigma(0, \varphi \circ \phi) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(\psi) = \sigma(0, \psi)$$

for all $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})$. Then $\tau \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ and $\rho \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{B}))$.

(ii) *Let $\tau \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ and $\rho \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{B}))$ and define a function σ on $\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_\theta \mathcal{B})$ by*

$$\sigma(\varphi, \varphi \circ \phi) = \tau(\varphi) + \rho(\varphi \circ \phi) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(0, \psi) = \rho(\psi)$$

for all $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})$. Then $\sigma \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_\phi \mathcal{B}))$.

Proof. (i). First note that the density of $\phi(\mathcal{B})$ in $\mathcal{A}$ implies that $\varphi \circ \phi \neq 0$ for all $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$, and therefore τ is well defined. It is also trivial that τ and ρ are continuous. Moreover, by [19, Theorem 4(i)] there is a bounded net $(a_\alpha, b_\alpha)_\alpha$ in $\mathcal{A} \times_\phi \mathcal{B}$ such that $\|(a_\alpha, b_\alpha)\| \leq \|\sigma\|_{BSE}$ and $(\widehat{a_\alpha, b_\alpha})(\gamma) \to \sigma(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in \Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_\phi \mathcal{B})$. Therefore, for each $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})$,

$$\widehat{b_\alpha}(\psi) = (\widehat{a_\alpha, b_\alpha})(0, \psi) \to \sigma(0, \psi) = \rho(\psi)$$

and for each $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ we have

$$\widehat{a_\alpha}(\varphi) = (\widehat{a_\alpha, b_\alpha})(\varphi, \varphi \circ \phi) - \widehat{b_\alpha}(\varphi \circ \phi) \to \sigma(\varphi, \varphi \circ \phi) - \sigma(0, \varphi \circ \phi) = \tau(\varphi).$$

These show that $\tau \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ and $\rho \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{B}))$. Finally, since $\|b_\alpha\| + \|a_\alpha\| = \|(a_\alpha, b_\alpha)\| \leq \|\sigma\|_{BSE}$, it follows from [19, Remark on p. 154] that $\|\tau\|_{BSE} + \|\rho\|_{BSE} \leq \|\sigma\|_{BSE}$.

(ii). As it was mentioned above $\varphi \circ \phi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})$ for all $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and consequently σ is well defined. Furthermore, Since E and F are closed in $\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_\phi \mathcal{B})$, it follows that σ is continuous. By assumption and [19, Theorem 4(i)] there exist bounded nets $(a_\alpha)_\alpha$ and $(b_\beta)_\beta$ in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, respectively with $\|a_\alpha\| \leq \|\tau\|_{BSE}$ and $\|b_\beta\| \leq \|\rho\|_{BSE}$ such that $\widehat{a_\alpha}(\varphi) \to \tau(\varphi)$ and $\widehat{b_\beta}(\psi) \to \rho(\psi)$ for all $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})$. If we consider the bounded net $(a_\alpha, b_\beta)_{\alpha,\beta}$ in $\mathcal{A} \times_\phi \mathcal{B}$, then

$$(\widehat{a_\alpha, b_\beta})(\varphi, \varphi \circ \phi) = \widehat{a_\alpha}(\varphi) + \widehat{b_\beta}(\varphi \circ \phi) \to \tau(\varphi) + \rho(\varphi \circ \phi) = \sigma(\varphi, \varphi \circ \phi)$$

for all $(\varphi, \varphi \circ \phi) \in E$ and

$$(\widehat{a_\alpha, b_\beta})(0, \psi) = \widehat{b_\beta}(\psi) \to \rho(\psi) = \sigma(0, \psi)$$

for all $(0,\psi) \in F$. Therefore, $\widehat{(a_\alpha, b_\beta)}(\gamma) \to \sigma(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in \Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_\phi \mathcal{B})$. Hence, $\sigma \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_\phi \mathcal{B}))$ and $\|\sigma\|_{BSE} \leq \|\tau\|_{BSE} + \|\rho\|_{BSE}$. ■

Now, assume that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are commutative semisimple Banach algebras and let $\phi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ be a contractive algebra homomorphism with dense range. Suppose that $\Gamma = \phi^*|_{\Delta(\mathcal{A})} : \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \to \Delta(\mathcal{B})$. Then the map $\widetilde{\phi} : C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{B})) \to C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ defined by $\widetilde{\phi}(\sigma) = \sigma \circ \Gamma$ is a contractive algebra homomorphism.

Theorem 4.2 *Let $\phi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ be a contractive algebra homomorphism with dense range. Then the map $\Theta : (\tau, \rho) \to \sigma$ of Lemma 4.1 is an isometric isomorphism from*

$$C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{B})) \times_{\widetilde{\phi}} C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$$

onto $C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_\phi \mathcal{B}))$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that Θ is bijective and isometric. It suffices to observe that Θ is also an algebra isomorphism. Indeed, given $\tau_i \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}))$ and $\rho_i \in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{B}))$, $i = 1, 2$. Then for each $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Theta((\tau_1, \rho_1)(\tau_2, \rho_2)), (\varphi, \varphi \circ \phi)\rangle &= \langle \Theta(\tau_1\tau_2 + \widetilde{\phi}(\rho_1)\tau_2 + \tau_1\widetilde{\phi}(\rho_2), \rho_1\rho_2), (\varphi, \varphi \circ \phi)\rangle \\
&= [\tau_1(\varphi) + \rho_1(\varphi \circ \phi)][\tau_2(\varphi) + \rho_2(\varphi \circ \phi)] \\
&= \langle \Theta(\tau_1, \rho_1)\Theta(\tau_2, \rho_2), (\varphi, \varphi \circ \phi)\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can show that $\langle \Theta((\tau_1, \rho_1)(\tau_2, \rho_2)), (0, \psi)\rangle = \langle \Theta(\tau_1, \rho_1)\Theta(\tau_2, \rho_2), (0, \psi)\rangle$ for all $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})$ which completes the proof. ■

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be commutative semisimple Banach algebras and let $\phi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ be a contractive algebra homomorphism. Then it is easy to check that the map $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \times_0 \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A} \times_\phi \mathcal{B}$ defined by

$$\Phi(a, b) = (a - \phi(b), b) \quad ((a, b) \in \mathcal{A} \times_0 \mathcal{B})$$

is an algebra isomorphism and

$$\|(a - \phi(b), b)\| = \|a - \phi(b)\| + \|b\| \leq \|a\| + (\|\phi\| + 1)\|b\| \leq (\|\phi\| + 1)\|(a, b)\|$$

Moreover, Since both algebras are semisimple, it follows that Φ is also a topological isomorphism. Therefore, there is a homeomorphism $\widehat{\Phi}$ between $\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_0 \mathcal{B})$ and $\Delta(\mathcal{A} \times_\phi \mathcal{B})$ given by

$$\widehat{\Phi}(\varphi, \varphi \circ \phi) = (\varphi, 0), \quad \widehat{\Phi}(0, \psi) = (0, \psi)$$

for all $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and $\psi \in \Delta(\mathcal{B})$. As an application of Corollary 3.3 we have the following result characterizing the BSE-property of $\mathcal{A} \times_\phi \mathcal{B}$.

Theorem 4.3 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two commutative semisimple Banach algebras. Then $\mathcal{A} \times_\phi \mathcal{B}$ is a BSE-algebra if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are BSE-algebra.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.3 it suffices to show that $\mathcal{A}\times_\phi\mathcal{B}$ is a BSE-algebra if and only if $\mathcal{A}\times_0\mathcal{B}$ is a BSE-algebra. In fact, suppose that $\mathcal{A}\times_\phi\mathcal{B}$ is a BSE-algebra and let $\sigma\in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}\times_0\mathcal{B}))$. Define τ on $\Delta(\mathcal{A}\times_\phi\mathcal{B})$ by

$$\tau(\varphi,\varphi\circ\phi)=\sigma(\varphi,0),\quad \tau(0,\psi)=\sigma(0,\psi)$$

for all $\varphi\in\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ and $\psi\in\Delta(\mathcal{B})$. Since $\tau=\sigma\circ\Phi^*$, it follows that $\tau\in C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}\times_\phi\mathcal{B}))$, where Φ is the above isomorphism between $\mathcal{A}\times_0\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{A}\times_\phi\mathcal{B}$. By assumption there is some $T\in M(\mathcal{A}\times_\phi\mathcal{B})$ such that $\widehat{T}=\tau$. Now, consider the map $S=\Phi^{-1}T\Phi:\mathcal{A}\times_0\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{A}\times_0\mathcal{B}$. Then it is trivial that $S\in M(\mathcal{A}\times_0\mathcal{B})$. We show that $\widehat{S}=\sigma$. Indeed, for each $\varphi\in\Delta(\mathcal{A})$, $a\in\mathcal{A}$ and $b\in\mathcal{B}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{S}(\varphi,0)\widehat{(a,b)}(\varphi,0) &= \widehat{S(a,b)}(\varphi,0)\\ &= \langle\Phi^{-1}T\Phi(a,b),(\varphi,0)\rangle\\ &= \langle T(a-\phi(b),b),{\Phi^{-1}}^*(\varphi,0)\rangle\\ &= \langle T(a-\phi(b),b),(\varphi,\varphi\circ\phi)\rangle\\ &= \widehat{\tau}(\varphi,\varphi\circ\phi)\widehat{a}(\varphi)\\ &= \sigma(\varphi,0)\widehat{(a,b)}(\varphi,0).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\widehat{S}(\varphi,0)=\sigma(\varphi,0)$. Similarly, we can show that $\widehat{S}(0,\psi)=\sigma(0,\psi)$ for all $\psi\in\Delta(\mathcal{B})$. This shows that $C_{BSE}(\Delta(\mathcal{A}\times_0\mathcal{B}))\subseteq M(\widehat{\mathcal{A}\times_0}\mathcal{B})$. For the reverse inclusion note that if (u_α,v_α) is a Δ-weak bounded approximate identity for $\mathcal{A}\times_\phi\mathcal{B}$, then $\Phi^{-1}((u_\alpha,v_\alpha))$ is a Δ-weak bounded approximate identity for $\mathcal{A}\times_0\mathcal{B}$, which implies that $\mathcal{A}\times_0\mathcal{B}$ is a BSE-algebra. Similarly, we can show that the converse is also true. ■

Recall that a locally compact group G is called *amenable* if there exists a translation-invariant mean on $L^\infty(G)$. Now, let $A(G)$ be the Fourier algebra of G, introduced by Eymard [6]. Then $A(G)$ is always an ideal in the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra $B(G)$ and $M(A(G))=B(G)$ when G is amenable. Furthermore, the carrier space of $A(G)$ as a commutative Banach algebra is homeomorphic to the topological space G where each $x\in G$ is mapped to φ_x, the point evaluation at x. Thus, in the following we identify $\Delta(A(G))$ with G.

The following result was obtained independently in a different way in [14].

Theorem 4.4 *Let G be an amenable locally compact group and let N be a closed normal subgroup of G such that G/N is compact. Suppose that $q:G\to G/N$ is the quotient map, and let*

$$B(G)=[B(G/N)\circ q]\times_0 A(G).$$

Then $B(G)$ is a BSE-algebra.

Proof. Since G/N is compact, it follows from [6, Corollary 2.26(3)] that the map $u\to u\circ q$ is an isometric Banach algebra isomorphism between $A(G/N)=B(G/N)$ and its image $A(G/N))\circ q$. Since both G and G/N are amenable groups, it follows from Corollary 3.3 and [14, Theorem

5.1] that $B(G)$ is a BSE-algebra. ■

The following example shows that $B(G)$ can be a BSE-algebra when G is noncompact. Therefore, we do not have the dual version of the result on BSE-property of $M(G)$.

Example 1 Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer, p a prime number, $\mathbb{Q}_p$ the field of p-adic numbers, and $\mathbb{Z}_p$ the ring of p-adic integers. Then the semidirect product $G_{p,n} = \mathbb{Q}_p^n \ltimes GL(n, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a noncompact amenable locally compact group. Runde and Spronk [18] showed that

$$B(G_{p,n}) = [A(GL(n, \mathbb{Z}_p)) \circ q] \times_0 A(G_{p,n}).$$

Since $GL(n, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $G_{p,n}$ are compact and amenable groups, respectively, it follows from above theorem that $B(G_{p,n})$ is a BSE-algebra.

References

[1] W. G. Bade and H. G. Dales, The Wedderburn decomposability of some commutative Banach algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **107** (1992), 105–121.

[2] W. G. Bade and H. G. Dales, Uniqueness of complete norms for quotients of Banach function algebras, *Studia Math.* **106** (1993), 289–302.

[3] S. J. Bhatt and P. A. Dabhi, Arens regularity and amenability of Lau product of Banach algebras defined by a Banach algebra morphism, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **87** (2013), 195–206.

[4] O. Berndt, On semidirect products of commutative Banach algebras, *Quaestiones Math.* **17** (1994), 67–81.

[5] P. C. Curtis, Jr. and R. J. Loy, The structure of amenable Banach algebras, *J. London Math. Soc.* **40** (1989), 89–104.

[6] P. Eymard, L'algébre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact, *Bull. Soc. Math. France,* **92** (1964), 181–236.

[7] J. Inoue and S.-E. Takahasi, Constructions of bounded weak approximate identities for Segal algebras on LCA groups, *Acta Sci. Math.* (Szeged) **66** (2000), 257–271.

[8] J. Inoue and S.-E. Takahasi, On characterizations of the image of the Gelfand transform of commutative Banach algebras, *Math. Nachr.* **280** (2007), 105–126.

[9] K. Izuchi, The Bochner-Schoenberg-Eberlein theorem and spaces of analytic functions on the open unit disc, *Math. Japon.* **37** (1992), 65–77.

[10] H. Javanshiri and M. Nemati, On a certain product of Banach algebras and some of its properties, *Proc. Rom. Acad. Ser. A Math. Phys. Tech. Sci. Inf. Sci.* **15** (2014), 219–227.

[11] B. E. Johnson, Weak amenability of group algebras, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **23** (1991), 281–284.

[12] Z. Kamali and M. Lashkarizadeh Bami, The multiplier algebra and BSE property of the direct sum of Banach algebras, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **88** (2013), 250–258.

[13] E. Kaniuth, The Bochner-Schoenberg-Eberlein Property and Spectral Synthesis for Certain Banach Algebra Products, *Canad. J. Math.* **67** (2015), 827–847.

[14] E. Kaniuth and A. Ülger, The Bochner-Schoenberg-Eberlein property for commutative Banach algebras, especially Fourier and Fourier-Stieltjes algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362** (2010), 4331–4356.

[15] R. Larsen, An introduction to the theory of multipliers, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.

[16] A. T.-M. Lau and R. Loy, Weak amenability of Banach algebras on locally compact groups, *J. Funct. Anal.* **145** (1997), 175–204.

[17] M. Nemati and H. Javanshiri, Some homological and cohomological notions on T-Lau product of Banach algebras, *Banach J. Math. Anal.* **9** (2015), 183–195.

[18] V. Runde and N. Spronk, Operator amenability of Fourier-Stieltjes algebras II, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **39** (2007), 194–202.

[19] S. Takahasi and O. Hatori, Commutative Banach algebras which satisfy a Bochner-Schoenberg- Eberlein type–theorem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **110** (1990), no. 1, 149–158.

[20] S. Takahasi and O. Hatori, Commutative Banach algebras and BSE-inequalities, *Math. Japon.* **37** (1992), no. 4, 607–614.

[21] M. P. Thomas, Principal ideals and semi-direct products in commutative Banach algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **101** (1991), 312–328.

[22] M. P. Thomas, Prime-like elements and semi-direct products in commutative Banach algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **145** (1997), 44–72.

Mehdi Nemati
Department of Mathematical Sciences, Isfahan Uinversity of Technology, Isfahan 84156-83111, Iran;
and
School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P.O. Box: 193955746, Tehran, Iran
E-mail: m.nemati@cc.iut.ac.ir

Hossein Javanshiri
Department of Mathematics, Yazd University, P.O. Box: 89195-741, Yazd, Iran
E-mail: h.javanshiri@yazd.ac.ir